

Preserved

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Ch. 71-20 (1-2)

1920.

(Ch.)

Later references.

Ch. 71-20 (5-11)

Ch. 139-91 (102)

File No.

Serial Nos

Pass due
M. 15711/42

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Two

Part B for

February

SUBJECT.

Travelling allowance bill of the
Prosecutor, Bangalore Division

8(4)

To The Registrar, Ch.

No. G. 13955

States that as the Public
are not treated as Gazetted
The bill may be sanctioned
Chief Court if it is ~~correct~~
unobjectionable.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*cts.*)

NOTES.

File No. 71-20.

FILE HEADING.

*T A. bill of The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division*

From

The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. 346 Dated 6. 10. 20. Received 8. 10. 20.

Diary No. 8129

Enclos. 3 Spare Copies. —

Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

*Forwarded a supplemental T. A. bill for July 1920
recd. from The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, for sanction
to the daily allowance for a host of over ten days made
at Kolar in connection with sessions work and
expressing doubts as to whether they can*

*sanction The charge in the case of the Public
Prosecutor.*

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Kind attention is invited to para 4 in cts.

71-20 (1-2)

Submitted for orders.

*(260) K.R.
26.10.20.*

Cush File No. 71 of 1920.

Serial
No.

2) This the omission pointed out
been supplied?

3) The Supdt. will please
check it & ascertain informally
about the point raised by the
Registrar.

(Hd) P. D.
29.10.20.

4) The bill has not been sent thro: the
Dist. Magistrate, but this seems to be
immaterial for our purpose, as
it has come thro: The Chief Court.

5) The point for consideration
is whether, per purpose 7 para 11
of G.O. no. 74. 1305-54 of 3.3.18, a
Public Prosecutor is a gazetted
or a non-gazetted officer
This point was ———

(Copy 2 from page 7)
MM
2.3.21.

8129

Ch. File No. 71 of 1920.

Serial
No.

raised in para 4 of the notes in Ch 71-20
put up. The Chief Cant seem to think that
he is to be regarded as a gazetted officer & the
claim sanctioned by Govt. Of appd, a draft
sanctioning will be put up

Ans
15/11

6) Mason put up w/ draft sanction
as well as the G.O. fixing his
pay, &c

R.M.
19/11

71

Subd with a draft sanctioning.
The G.O. fixing the pay of the Public
Prosecutor is also put up.

S.S.

25/11/20.

Ans
26/11

8) It seems to be more appropriate
to treat the Mr. Prosser as a gazetted
officer. Draft sanction is subd for appd.

If appd, the ind branch will be considered. R.M.
19/11/12

111 Member


of the meaning of the term 'gazetted' is
not clearly defined - G.O. in Finance
Secretariat may be consulted

Ans
17/12

Ans
17/12

Serial
No.

Lille to

10) In favour of opinion
to m
18/12

Jail Sect

11) The term has not been defined and
no opinion can therefore be expressed by
the Finance Dept as to whether Public
Prosecutors should be treated as
Gazetted & Non Gazetted Officers. How
they are treated in practice may, if
necessary, be ascertained from the
Comptroller and if Govt want to treat
them as Gazetted Officers it may be
done and Financial Dept has no
obj. to the arrangement -

Small
3.1.23
18
3.1.23

Ch Probation Secy

U. O. No. - 39 of 20-21

12) Forwarded U.O. with Compliments
to the Comptroller to Govt for favour
of remarks.

B. O.
D. Mahadwar
In Sect to Govt

34835 GEN & REV. SEC.
Ch Secy
10 JAN 1923

188-K Rev. - Dept
Memo No 1313 88-1.2.14/1/20
G.A.D

13) Public Prosecutors are not being treated as
Gazetted officers and their pay is not as
in the Gazetted Audit department officer

Ch J. Sanyal
5/1

Serial
No.

14) Sd/- with the opinions of
the Joint Secy & the Comptroller

D. M.
15/1

III Member

15) In this case may be informed
accordingly & may be asked to
pass the bill if the same is unobjectionable.

16/2
22/1

16) Draft
D. M.
22/1

17) Sd/- with a draft.

ESS

4/2/21
15/2

18) Issued
D. M.
8/2

4 To The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. G. 15955 of 15-2-21.

States that as the Public Prosecutors are not
treated as gazetted officers, the bill may be
sanctioned by the Chief Court if it is otherwise
unobjectionable.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
III
PROTECTION BRANCH
1 MAR 1921

8129

1920 - 1921

1305-13-1

SECTION *Ch*

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,

Bangalore dated 6th October 1920.

3

Received 3/10/20

From

8 OCT 1920

G. SREENIVASA IYER, Esquire, B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

11611
PROTECTION
9 OCT 1920

To

Recd 8/10

C.S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

S i r,

I am directed to forward herewith a supplemental Travelling Allowance Bill for July 1920 together with its accompaniments, received from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, for sanction to the daily allowance for a halt of over ten days made at Kolar in connection with sessions work. As under para 1 (11) of Government Order No. Fl. 1305-54/G.F. 70-18-1, dated 3rd September 1918, and the second para of Article 582, Mysore Service Regulations, delegation of the power of sanctioning daily allowance for halts exceeding ten days is made in respect of only non-gazetted officers, the Chief Court entertain doubts as to whether they can sanction the charge in the case of the Public Prosecutor, as stated in your letter No. G. 6948/Cts. 71-20-2, dated the 24th September 1920 addressed to the Public Prosecutor.

"I am therefore to request that Government may
 please to accord sanction to the charge under
 Article 62, Mysore Service Regulations.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,
 Your most obedient servant,

G. M. V. S. R.

REGISTRAR.

BS

Kind attention is invited to para 4 in ch 71-20 (1-2).

Subd. for order.

Re

25-10-20

Has the mission pointed out
 been supplied?

The Sup^{ts} will please check it
 & ascertain informally about the
 point raised by the Reg^r

10-14

29-10

The Bill has not been sent thro' the D^r Mag^r but this
 seems to be immaterial for our purpose, as it has come
 thro' the Chief Court.

The point for consideration is whether, for purpose of
 para 11 of G.O. no 21303-54 of 3-9-18, a public Prosecutor
 is a gazetted or a non-gazetted Officer. This point was

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section *CT*

File No. *71-20*.

Serial No. *4*

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 191

No.

415955

To

Date of issue

15/2

The Registrar, Chief Court

Signed by

M. M. Siva

With reference to
your letter no. RoE 366 D6/10/20
requesting sanction to the
daily allowance for a lakh
for ten days made by
the Public Prosecutor
Bangalore Dn. in Kolari.
I am directed to state
as
that the Public prosecutors
are not
~~being treated as a non-~~
over.

gazetted officers, for all
practical purposes, the
bill may be sanctioned
by the ^{his} Chief Court ~~themselves~~
if otherwise unobjectionable
I have etc

^{u.}
D. 87^u J. K. R. S. S.

^o
288
4/2/21
J. K. S. S.

Last of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by